



Attorney's Docket No. 3521.125

ART UNIT: 2822

EXAMINER: Tonieae M. Thomas

#4  
I. BELL  
9.6.01  
RECEIVED  
PATENT  
AUG 28 2001  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: )  
ANDREW M. HAWRYLUK et al. )  
Serial No.: 09/536,927 )  
Filed: March 27, 2000 )  
)


RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION  
REQUIREMENT

For: METHODS FOR ANNEALING A SUBSTRATE AND ARTICLE PRODUCED BY SUCH  
METHODS

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE**

I hereby certify that this paper, and the listed enclosures, and fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated below and is addressed to Box Missing Parts, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 with the Express Mail Label No. EL852965183US on August 27, 2001.

  
Allston L. Jones, Attorney for Applicant

Dear Sir:

This Response is being submitted to the Examiner's Action dated July 26, 2001,

due without a time extension thereto within one month ending Sunday August 26, 2001 with the Rules of the Patent Office therefore extending the day for response to and including the next business day Monday, August 27, 2001 to make the election.

The Examiner indicated in the Restriction Requirement the finding that the Application includes two inventions, namely:

- Group I: Claims 1-25 and 27-74 drawn to a process of making; and
- Group II: Claim 26 drawn to a product.

Accordingly the Examiner is requiring Applicants to elect one of those groups of claims to proceed with in this application.

The Applicants believe that even with an election of either species the Examiner's search will require a search in the same art that includes both hollow and solid light tunnels and accordingly traverses the Restriction Requirement and requests that the all claims and both species be examined as a whole. By so doing, the Examiner's time for the search will not be increased and from an economy of time, both the Examiner and the Applicants would be better served by the withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement. Thus, a withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement is respectfully requested.


The Applicant elects to proceed with the claims of Group I, namely Claims 1-25 and 27-74.

Further, the Applicants reserve the right to represent the non-elected claim, and other product claims in a later filed application.

Favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
Andrew M. Hawryluk et. al

by



---

Allston L. Jones  
Reg. No. 27,906

Peters, Verny, Jones & Biksa, LLP  
385 Sherman Ave., Suite 6  
Palo Alto, CA 94306  
Voice: 650/324-1677 ext. 22  
FAX: 650/324-1678  
e-mail: alj888@aol.com  
August 27, 2001